

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/487,239	01/20/2000	Norikane Nabata	Q57646	2929	
Sughrue Mion Macpeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W			EXAMINER		
			VO, HAI		
Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
·			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1-0				
Office Action Summary		09/487,239	NABATA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hai Vo	1771					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a respective period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	tion.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 /	August 2004.						
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-12</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	b by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	` '					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.					
<u> </u>	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
\ttachment	(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
i) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

1. Claim objections are withdrawn in view of the present amendment.

2. The art rejections are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dauber et al (US 5,538,545) in view of Takiguchi et al (US 5,804,074) substantially as set forth in the 04/23/2004 Office Action. With regard to newly added claim 12, Since the laminate comprises an ultrahigh molecular polyolefin porous film and a polytetrafluoroehtylene porous film, each of the porous films can be either an inner layer or an outer layer with respect to each other. Accordingly, the sorbent filter of Dauber as modified by Takiguchi reads on the claim limitation.

The art rejections are maintained for the following reasons. Applicant argue that the filter of Takiguchi is significantly different than that of Dauber or the present invention since the filter in Takiguchi does not hold a sorbent layer. However, the differences do not prevent from combining Takiguchi and Dauber to arrive at the container of the presently claimed invention. It is believed that the two cited references are related to the filters and the motivation to combine them is sufficient and proper to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness. Applicants argue that Takiguchi relates to a porous plastic filter which uses a two-layer structure of larger

Application/Control Number: 09/487,239

Art Unit: 1771

and smaller particle porous layers. Takiguchi suggests that both layers should be formed of the same type of material (column 6, lines 35-39). It is noted that "should" does not necessarily mean "must". Further, the claims 11-21of the Takiguchi suggest the filter comprising a small particle porous layer formed from Therefore, Takiguchi does not necessarily require the two porous layers made of the same materials as Applicants argued. Additionally, The examiner respectively points out that as a secondary reference, there is no need for Takiguchi to address the issue whether the two porous layers are formed from different types of materials because that issue is already taught in the primary Dauber reference. In view of Takiguchi's teaching, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the expanded polyethylene film with an ultra high molecular weight polyethylene porous film of the Takiguchi invention motivated by the desire to provide the sorbent filter with higher mechanical strength.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from

Art Unit: 1771

the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 7:00-4:30 and on alternating Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hai Vo Toch Center 1700

HV